# Benchmarking sep-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 Function Testbed

Raymond Ros Univ. Paris-Sud, LRI UMR 8623 / INRIA Saclay, projet TAO F-91405 Orsay, France raymond.ros@Iri.fr

## ABSTRACT

A partly time and space linear CMA-ES is benchmarked on the BBOB-2009 noiseless function testbed. This algorithm with a multistart strategy with increasing population size solves 17 functions out of 24 in 20-D.

#### **Categories and Subject Descriptors**

G.1.6 [Numerical Analysis]: Optimization—global optimization, unconstrained optimization; F.2.1 [Analysis of Algorithms and Problem Complexity]: Numerical Algorithms and Problems

#### **General Terms**

Algorithms

#### Keywords

Benchmarking, Black-box optimization, Evolutionary computation, Covariance matrix adaptation, Evolution strategy

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The sep-CMA-ES algorithm introduced in [7] is a variant of the covariance matrix adaptation evolution strategy (CMA-ES) [5] that is linear in time and space. This property combined with a faster learning rate makes sep-CMA-ES appropriate for separable function and larger dimensions. A mixed strategy of using sep-CMA-ES and CMA-ES is proposed here and benchmarked on a noiseless function testbed.

#### 2. ALGORITHM PRESENTATION

In its design, the sep-CMA-ES differs from the CMA-ES by two aspects: first, the covariance matrix is constrained to be diagonal at each of its update, second, the learning rate is increased by a factor of  $\frac{n+3/2}{3}$ , where *n* is the dimension of the search space <sup>1</sup>. These modifications result

in an algorithm that trades model complexity with a time and space scaling that is better than the original CMA-ES. The  $(\mu/\mu_{\rm w}, \lambda)$ -sep-CMA-ES has been shown to outperform  $(\mu/\mu_{\rm w}, \lambda)$ -CMA-ES on separable functions.

We propose here what would be the best of two worlds: to use sep-CMA-ES for the first few iterations and then switch to CMA-ES. At the time of the switch, all parameters are retained except for the learning rate that is decreased back to its default value. This implies the diagonal covariance matrix acquired using sep-CMA-ES is directly used by CMA-ES. This mixed strategy is therefore expected to be faster than CMA-ES on separable functions. Ongoing work has also shown that for some test functions the first iterations using sep-CMA-ES would not disadvantage the latter use of CMA-ES in any way. In other terms, the cost of initially using sep-CMA-ES would not induce a penalty in the cost of solving the function with CMA-ES afterwards. The author admits some functions could induce such a penalty.

As for the multistart strategy, we use the increasing population size IPOP-CMA-ES [1]. Though this approach has shown its limits [6], independent restart may improve the probability of the algorithm reaching a given target function value.

#### 3. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The Matlab implementation of the CMA-ES (version 3.23beta) is used<sup>2</sup>. We use the  $(\mu/\mu_{\rm W}, \lambda)$ -IPOP-CMA-ES variant with an initial default population size  $\lambda = 4 + \lfloor 3\ln(n) \rfloor$  increasing twice at each restart. Except the learning rate, all other algorithm parameters are set to their default values. The covariance matrix is constrained to be diagonal only for the first  $1 + 100n/\sqrt{\lambda}$  iterations of the *first start*. A maximum of 8 independent restarts is conducted. Restarts occur after  $100 + 300n\sqrt{n/\lambda}$  iterations or if any of the default stopping criterion is met. The initial stepsize has been set to 2 and the starting point has been chosen uniformly in  $[-4, 4]^n$ . The maximum number of function evaluations was set to  $10^4$  times the dimension. No parameter tuning was done, the CrE [3] is computed to zero.

# 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results from experiments according to [3] on the benchmark functions given in [2, 4] are presented in Figures 1 and 2 and in Table 1. The algorithm solves 17 out of the 24 functions in 20-D. The algorithm performs well on uni-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Please note that the factor for the learning rate is smaller than the one in [7].

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

GECCO'09, July 8-12, 2009, Montréal Québec, Canada.

Copyright 2009 ACM 978-1-60558-505-5/09/07 ...\$5.00.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Latest version available here:http://www.lri.fr/ ~hansen/cmaesintro.html



Figure 1: Expected Running Time (ERT,  $\bullet$ ) to reach  $f_{opt} + \Delta f$  and median number of function evaluations of successful trials (+), shown for  $\Delta f = 10, 1, 10^{-1}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-5}, 10^{-8}$  (the exponent is given in the legend of  $f_1$  and  $f_{24}$ ) versus dimension in log-log presentation. The ERT( $\Delta f$ ) equals to  $\#FEs(\Delta f)$  divided by the number of successful trials, where a trial is successful if  $f_{opt} + \Delta f$  was surpassed during the trial. The  $\#FEs(\Delta f)$  are the total number of function evaluations while  $f_{opt} + \Delta f$  was not surpassed during the trial from all respective trials (successful and unsuccessful), and  $f_{opt}$  denotes the optimal function value. Crosses (×) indicate the total number of successful trials. Annotated numbers on the ordinate are decimal logarithms. Additional grid lines show linear and quadratic scaling.

f1 in 5-D, N=15, mFE=738   f1 in 20-D, N=15, mFE=2594	f2 in 5-D, N=15, mFE=1554	f2 in 20-D, N=15, mFE=5750
$\frac{\Delta f}{10} \# \text{ ERT } 10\% 90\% \text{ RT}_{\text{succ}} \# \text{ ERT } 10\% 90\% \text{ RT}_{\text{succ}}$	$\Delta f \# \text{ERT} 10\% 90\% \text{RT}_{\text{succ}}$ 10 15 6.0e2 5.5e2 6.5e2 6.0e2	$\frac{\# \text{ ERT } 10\% 90\% \text{ RT}_{\text{succ}}}{15 2.9e3 2.8e3 3.0e3 2.9e3}$
1 15 8.5e1 7.8e1 9.2e1 8.5e1 15 5.3e2 5.1e2 5.5e2 5.3e2	1 15 7.4e2 7.0e2 7.8e2 7.4e2	15 3.2e3 3.1e3 3.3e3 3.2e3
1e-1 15 1.7e2 1.5e2 1.8e2 1.7e2 15 7.6e2 7.4e2 7.8e2 7.6e2 1e-3 15 2.8e2 2.7e2 3.0e2 2.8e2 15 1.2e3 1.2e3 1.3e3 1.2e3	1e-1 15 8.3e2 7.9e2 8.6e2 8.3e2 1e-3 15 9.7e2 9.4e2 1.0e3 9.7e2	15 3.5e3 3.4e3 3.6e3 3.5e3 15 4.0e3 3.9e3 4.1e3 4.0e3
$1e^{-5} 15 4.2e^{2} 4.0e^{2} 4.3e^{2} 4.2e^{2} 15 1.7e^{3} 1.6e^{3} 1.7e^{3} 1.7e^{3}$	1e-5 15 1.1e3 1.1e3 1.1e3 1.1e3	15 4.5e3 4.4e3 4.6e3 4.5e3
$1e-8   15 \ 6.0e2 \ 5.8e2 \ 6.2e2 \ 6.0e2   15 \ 2.4e3 \ 2.4$	1e-8 15 1.3e3 1.3e3 1.3e3 1.3e3 1.3e3	15 5.2e3 5.1e3 5.3e3 5.2e3
$\Delta f = \frac{1}{4} = \text{RT} = 10\% = 90\% = \text{RT}_{\text{succ}} = \frac{1}{4} = \text{RT} = 10\% = 90\% = \text{RT}_{\text{succ}}$	$\Delta f = 10\%$ $\mu $	# ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub>
10 15 8.6e2 5.9e2 1.1e3 8.6e2 15 5.1e4 4.2e4 6.0e4 5.1e4	10 15 8.1e2 5.7e2 1.1e3 8.1e2	0  14e + 0  13e + 0  16e + 0  1.1e5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
1e-3 4 1.6e5 9.8e4 3.5e5 3.8e4	1e-3	
1e-5 4 1.6e5 9.9e4 3.3e5 3.8e4	1e-5	
<b>f5</b> in 5-D, N=15, mFE=106 <b>f5</b> in 20-D, N=15, mFE=410	f6 in 5-D, N=15, mFE=2650	<b>f6 in 20-D</b> , N=15, mFE=24422
$\frac{\Delta f}{10} \# \text{ ERT } 10\% 90\% \text{ RT}_{\text{succ}} \# \text{ ERT } 10\% 90\% \text{ RT}_{\text{succ}}$	$\Delta f \# \text{ERT} 10\% 90\% \text{RT}_{\text{succ}} = 10^{-10} 15^{-2} 2.6^{-2} 2.0^{-2} 2.2^{-2} 10^{-1}$	# ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5 2.265 2.165 2.365 2.265 5 3.463 3.263 3.563 3.463
1e-1 15 6.8e1 6.2e1 7.4e1 6.8e1 15 3.1e2 2.9e2 3.3e2 3.1e2	1e-1 15 7.5e2 6.8e2 8.3e2 7.5e2 1	5 4.6e3 4.4e3 4.7e3 4.6e3
1e-3 15 6.9e1 6.4e1 7.5e1 6.9e1 15 3.1e2 2.9e2 3.3e2 3.1e2 1e-5 15 6.9e1 6.4e1 7.5e1 6.9e1 15 3.1e2 2.9e2 3.3e2 3.1e2	1e-5 15 1.5e5 1.2e5 1.5e5 1.5e5 1.5e5 1.5e5 1.7e3 1 1e-5 15 1.7e3 1.6e3 1.7e3 1.7e3 1	.5 1.0e3 0.8e3 1.2e3 1.0e3 .5 1.0e4 9.3e3 1.1e4 1.0e4
1e-8 15 6.9e1 6.4e1 7.5e1 6.9e1 15 3.1e2 2.9e2 3.3e2 3.1e2	1e-8 15 2.2e3 2.1e3 2.2e3 2.2e3 1	5 1.4e4 1.3e4 1.5e4 1.4e4
$\Delta f$ # ERT 10% 90% RTence # ERT 10% 90% RTence	$\Delta f = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2$	$f_8$ in 20-D, N=15, mFE=28922 # ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10 15 2.6e2 2.1e2 3.1e2 2.6e2	15 1.1e4 1.1e4 1.1e4 1.1e4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15 2.1e4 2.1e4 2.1e4 2.1e4 15 2.3e4 2.2e4 2.3e4 2.3e4
1e-3 15 1.9e3 1.6e3 2.1e3 1.9e3 15 2.5e4 2.2e4 2.8e4 2.5e4	1e-3 15 2.7e3 2.5e3 2.9e3 2.7e3	15 2.4e4 2.4e4 2.5e4 2.4e4
1e-5 15 1.9e3 1.6e3 2.1e3 1.9e3 15 2.5e4 2.2e4 2.8e4 2.5e4	1e-5 15 2.8e3 2.6e3 3.1e3 2.8e3	15 2.5e4 2.5e4 2.6e4 2.5e4
fg  in  5-D,  N=15,  mFE=4914   fg  in  20-D,  N=15,  mFE=55924	$f_{10}$ in 5-D, N=15, mFE=4042	$f_{10}$ in 20-D, N=15, mFE=30386
$\Delta f$ # ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub> # ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub>	$\Delta f$ # ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub>	# ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub>
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10 15 2.6e3 2.5e3 2.7e3 2.6e3 1 15 2.8e3 2.7e3 2.9e3 2.8e3	15 2.3e4 2.2e4 2.4e4 2.3e4 15 2.5e4 2.4e4 2.6e4 2.5e4
1e-1 15 2.1e3 2.0e3 2.3e3 2.1e3 15 2.4e4 2.1e4 2.6e4 2.4e4	1e-1 15 2.9e3 2.8e3 3.0e3 2.9e3	15 2.6e4 2.6e4 2.7e4 2.6e4
1e-3 15 2.5e3 2.3e3 2.7e3 2.5e3 15 2.5e4 2.3e4 2.7e4 2.5e4 1e-5 15 2.7e3 2.5e3 2.8e3 2.7e3 15 2.6e4 2.4e4 2.8e4 2.6e4	1e-3 15 3.1e3 3.0e3 3.2e3 3.1e3 1e-5 15 3.3e3 3.2e3 3.3e3 3.3e3	15 2.7e4 2.7e4 2.8e4 2.7e4 15 2.8e4 2.7e4 2.8e4 2.8e4
1e-8 15 2.9e3 2.8e3 3.1e3 2.9e3 15 2.7e4 2.5e4 2.9e4 2.7e4	1e-8 15 3.5e3 3.4e3 3.6e3 3.5e3	15 2.9e4 2.8e4 2.9e4 2.9e4
$f_{11}$ in 5-D, N=15, mFE=4226 $f_{11}$ in 20-D, N=15, mFE=31418	$f_{12}$ in 5-D, N=15, mFE=9042	$f_{12}$ in 20-D, N=15, mFE=58382
$\begin{array}{c} \Delta f & \# & \text{ER1} & 10\% & 50\% & \text{R1}_{\text{succ}} & \# & \text{ER1} & 10\% & 50\% & \text{R1}_{\text{succ}} \\ \hline 10 & 15 & 2.2e3 & 1.9e3 & 2.5e3 & 2.2e3 & 15 & 2.0e4 & 1.9e4 & 2.0e4 & 2.0e4 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
1 15 2.9e3 2.8e3 3.0e3 2.9e3 15 2.2e4 2.2e4 2.3e4 2.2e4	1 15 2.9e3 2.6e3 3.2e3 2.9e3	15 1.3e4 9.9e3 1.6e4 1.3e4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1e-1 15 3.4e3 2.9e3 3.8e3 3.4e3 1e-3 15 3.9e3 3.4e3 4.6e3 3.9e3	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
1e-5 15 3.6e3 3.5e3 3.7e3 3.6e3 15 2.6e4 2.5e4 2.6e4 2.6e4	1e-5 15 4.5e3 3.8e3 5.2e3 4.5e3	15 3.0e4 2.7e4 3.3e4 3.0e4
$f_{13}$ in 5-D. N=15, mFE=6308   f_{13} in 20-D. N=15, mFE=105488	$f_{14}$ in 5-D. N=15. mFE=3634	$f_{14}$ in 20-D. N=15. mFE=31850
$\Delta f$ # ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub> # ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub>	$\Delta f \# \text{ ERT } 10\% 90\% \text{ RT}_{\text{succ}}$	# ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub>
10 15 1.2e3 8.5e2 1.6e3 1.2e3 15 3.8e3 2.6e3 5.0e3 3.8e3 1 15 2 1e3 1 7e3 2 4e3 2 1e3 15 1 1e4 8 4e3 1 3e4 1 1e4	10 15 1.6e1 1.2e1 2.0e1 1.6e1 1 15 1.2e2 1.1e2 1.4e2 1.2e2	15 2.5e2 2.3e2 2.7e2 2.5e2 15 6 1e2 5 8e2 6 5e2 6 1e2
1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 =	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15 9.8e2 9.4e2 1.0e3 9.8e2
1e-3 15 3.7e3 3.6e3 3.8e3 3.7e3 15 3.3e4 2.9e4 3.6e4 3.3e4	1e-3 15 7.4e2 6.3e2 8.5e2 7.4e2	15 6.4e3 6.1e3 6.7e3 6.4e3
$\begin{array}{c} 1e-5 & 15 & 4.4e5 & 4.5e5 & 4.5e5 & 4.4e5 & 15 & 4.4e4 & 5.5e4 & 4.4e4 \\ 1e-8 & 15 & 5.5e3 & 5.4e3 & 5.7e3 & 5.5e3 & 15 & 6.2e4 & 5.7e4 & 6.8e4 & 6.2e4 \end{array}$	1e-3 $15$ $2.4e3$ $2.3e3$ $2.3e3$ $2.4e31e-8$ $15$ $3.3e3$ $3.3e3$ $3.4e3$ $3.3e3$	15 3.0e4 2.9e4 3.0e4 3.0e4
f15 in 5-D, N=15, mFE=50430 f15 in 20-D, N=15, mFE=200340	$f_{16}$ in 5-D, N=15, mFE=32578	$f_{16}$ in 20-D, N=15, mFE=200340
$\frac{\Delta f}{10} = \frac{\#}{15} \frac{\text{ERI}}{8.3\text{e}2} \frac{10\%}{5.1\text{e}2} \frac{90\%}{1.2\text{e}3} \frac{\text{RI}_{\text{succ}}}{8.3\text{e}2} = \frac{\#}{15} \frac{\text{ERI}}{3.3\text{e}4} \frac{10\%}{2.8\text{e}4} \frac{90\%}{3.8\text{e}4} \frac{\text{RI}_{\text{succ}}}{3.3\text{e}4}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
1 15 9.3e3 7.7e3 1.1e4 9.3e3 15 1.5e5 1.3e5 1.6e5 1.5e5	1 15 3.1e3 2.5e3 3.7e3 3.1e3	15 2.7e4 2.1e4 3.3e4 2.7e4
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1e-1 15 9.3e3 7.2e3 1.2e4 9.3e3 1e-3 15 1.0e4 8.4e3 1.3e4 1.0e4	9 2.6e5 2.0e5 3.5e5 1.6e5
1e-5 14 2.1e4 1.6e4 2.6e4 1.9e4 6 4.9e5 3.5e5 7.5e5 2.0e5	1e-5 15 1.2e4 9.7e3 1.4e4 1.2e4	7 3.7e5 2.6e5 6.0e5 1.6e5
16-8 14 2.2e4 1.7e4 2.7e4 2.0e4 1 2.9e6 1.4e6 >3e6 2.0e5 $f_{17}$ in 5-D N=15 mEE=12542 $f_{17}$ in 20-D N=15 mEE=159622	$f_{10} = 8$ 15 1.264 1.064 1.464 1.264 1.264 $f_{10} = 5-D$ N=15 mFE=21008	13.8e5 2.7e5 0.3e5 1.7e5 119 in 20-D N=15 mFE=171622
$\Delta f \ \# \ \text{ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ \text{RT}_{\text{succ}} \ \# \ \text{ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ \text{RT}_{\text{succ}}$	J18 m 0 D, 11=10, m1 H=21000	<b>718 m 20 D</b> , <b>m</b> 10=111022
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\Delta f$ # ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub>	# ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub>
I IIO 1.2C2 I.0C2 I.0C0 1.2C2 IIO 4.1C0 I.4C0 0.9C0 4 103	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	# ERT 10% 90% RT <sub>succ</sub> 15 6.2 e2 5.7 e2 6.7 e2 6.2 e2   15 4.1 e3 2.0 e3 6.1 e3 4.1 e3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \end{array}$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 15 \ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm N}, {\rm N=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm N}, {\rm N=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm N}, {\rm N=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm N}, {\rm N=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm N}, {\rm N=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm N}, {\rm N=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm N}, {\rm n=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm N}, {\rm n=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm N}, {\rm n=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm N}, {\rm n=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ {\rm n=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ {\rm n=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ {\rm n=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ {\rm n=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ {\rm n=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm in} \ {\rm n=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm mE=}100 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm mE=}10 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm mE=}10 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm mE=}10 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm mE=}100 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm mE=}10 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm mE=}100 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm mE=}100 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm mE=}10 \\ {\it f}_{20} \ {\rm mE=}10 \ {$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 15 \ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ \textbf{\textit{f20} in 20-D, N=15, mFE=200316} \\ \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 15 \ 3.6e2 \ 3.4e2 \ 3.9e2 \ 3.6e2 \\ \end{array} $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$ \begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2 e2 \ 5.7 e2 \ 6.7 e2 \ 6.2 e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1 e3 \ 2.0 e3 \ 6.1 e3 \ 4.1 e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2 e4 \ 1.9 e4 \ 2.5 e4 \ 2.2 e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8 e4 \ 5.8 e4 \ 7.8 e4 \ 6.8 e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3 e5 \ 1.2 e5 \ 1.4 e5 \ 1.3 e5 \\ 15 \ 1.5 e5 \ 1.4 e5 \ 1.6 e5 \ 1.5 e5 \\ f20 \ {\rm in} \ 20 - {\rm D}, {\rm N=15, mFE=200316} \\ \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 5 \ 6.0 e5 \ 4.2 e5 \ 1.0 e6 \ 2.0 e5 \\ 0 \ 0 \ t5 \ t5 \ t5 \ t5 \ t5 \ t5 \ t$
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{r l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	$ \begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 15 \ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ f_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm D}, {\rm N=15}, {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm succ} \\ 15 \ 3.6e2 \ 3.4e2 \ 3.9e2 \ 3.6e2 \\ 5 \ 6.0e5 \ 4.2e5 \ 1.0e6 \ 2.0e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ 12e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ $
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{r c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 15 \ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ 15 \ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 2.0e16 \\ \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm succ} \\ 15 \ 3.6e2 \ 3.4e2 \ 3.9e2 \ 3.6e2 \\ 5 \ 6.0e5 \ 4.2e5 \ 1.0e6 \ 2.0e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-l \ 9le-2 \ l2e-l \ 1.3e5 \\ $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{r llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$ \begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 15 \ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ 15 \ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ 15 \ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ 15 \ 0.6e5 \ 4.2e5 \ 1.0e6 \ 2.0e5 \\ 0 \ 11e^{-1} \ 91e^{-2} \ 12e^{-1} \ 1.3e5 \\ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ .$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{r l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{r l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	$\begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 15 \ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ {\it f20} \ {\rm in}\ 20-{\rm DN} \ {\rm N=15}, \ {\rm mFE=200316} \\ \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm succ} \\ 15 \ 3.6e2 \ 3.4e2 \ 3.9e2 \ 3.6e2 \ 3.6e2 \\ $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{r l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 15 \ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ f_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm D}, \ {\rm N=15}, \ {\rm mFE=}200316 \\ \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 15 \ 3.6e2 \ 3.4e2 \ 3.9e2 \ 3.6e2 \\ 5 \ 6.0e5 \ 4.2e5 \ 1.0e6 \ 2.0e5 \\ 0 \ 11e^{-1} \ 91e^{-2} \ 12e^{-1} \ 1.3e5 \\ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ .$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{r l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	$ \begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e3 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 1.5 \ 4.1e3 \ 5.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ f_{20} \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm D}, \ N=15, \ {\rm mFE}=200316 \\ \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 5 \ 6.0e5 \ 4.2e5 \ 1.0e6 \ 2.0e5 \\ 0 \ 11e-1 \ 91e-2 \ 12e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ .$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{r lll} \underline{\Delta f} & \# & \mathrm{ERT} & 10\% & 90\% & \mathrm{RT}\mathrm{succ} \\ \hline 10 & 15 & 1.4e2 & 1.1e2 & 1.6e2 & 1.4e2 \\ 1 & 15 & 1.9e3 & 1.0e3 & 2.9e3 & 1.9e3 \\ 1e-3 & 15 & 9.6e3 & 8.2e3 & 1.1e4 & 9.6e3 \\ 1e-3 & 15 & 9.6e3 & 8.2e3 & 1.1e4 & 9.6e3 \\ 1e-8 & 15 & 1.1e4 & 9.6e3 & 1.2e4 & 1.1e4 \\ 1e-8 & 15 & 1.3e4 & 1.2e4 & 1.1e4 \\ 1a-8 & 15 & 1.3e4 & 1.2e4 & 1.1e4 \\ 1a-8 & 15 & 5.3e1 & 4.4e1 & 6.1e1 & 5.3e1 \\ 1 & 15 & 5.6e3 & 3.8e3 & 7.4e3 & 5.6e3 \\ 1e-1 & 7 & 8.9e4 & 6.2e4 & 1.4e5 & 3.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 7 & 9.1e4 & 6.4e4 & 1.5e5 & 3.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 7 & 9.2e4 & 6.5e4 & 1.5e5 & 3.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 7 & 9.2e4 & 6.5e4 & 1.5e5 & 3.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 7 & 9.4e4 & 6.6e4 & 1.4e5 & 3.9e4 \\ 1e-8 & 7 & 9.4e4 & 6.6e4 & 1.4e5 & 3.9e4 \\ 1e-8 & 7 & 9.4e4 & 6.6e4 & 1.4e5 & 3.9e4 \\ 1e-8 & 7 & 9.4e4 & 6.8e4 & 9.0e4 & 2.8e4 \\ 1e-3 & 8 & 5.8e4 & 3.9e4 & 9.2e4 & 2.8e4 \\ 1e-5 & 8 & 5.8e4 & 3.9e4 & 9.2e4 & 2.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 8 & 5.9e4 & 5.9e4 & 9.2e4 & 2.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 8 & 5.9e4 & 9.2e4 & 2.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 8 & 5.9e4 & 9.2e4 & 2.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 8 & 5.9e4 & 9.2e4 & 2.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 8 & 5.9e4 & 9.2e4 & 2.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 8 & 5.9e4 & 9.2e4 & 2.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 8 & 5.9e4 & 9.2e4 & 2.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 8 & 5.9e4 & 9.2e4 & 2.8e4 \\ 1e-8 & 8 & 5.9e4 & 9.2e4 & 2.8e4 \\$	$ \begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 1.5 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 1.2e1 \ 1.3e4 \\ 1.3e4 \ 1.9e5 \ 8.7e4 \\ 1.3e4 \ 1.9e5 \ 1.5e4 \ 1.3e4 \\ 1.5e1 \ 1.3e4 \ 1.9e5 \ 1.5e4 \\ 1.5e1 \ 1.3e4 \ 1.5e4 \ 1.5e4 \\ 1.5e1 \ 1.5e5 \ $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{r l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l$	$ \begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 1.5 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ f20 \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm D}, {\rm N=15}, {\rm mFE=200316} \\ \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm Succ} \\ 15 \ 3.6e2 \ 3.4e2 \ 3.9e2 \ 3.6e2 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-1 \ 9le-2 \ l2e-1 \ 1.3e5 \\ 0 \ 2le-2 \ 0.9e3 \ 1.5e3 \ 4.e3 \ 2.9e3 \\ 10 \ 1.3e5 \ 8.4e4 \ 1.9e5 \ 8.7e4 \\ 0 \ 6le-2 \ 6le-2 \ 5le-1 \ 1.3e4 \\ 0 \ 6le-2 \ 6le-2 \ 5le-1 \ 1.3e4 \\ 0 \ 6le-2 \ 6le-2 \ 5le-1 \ 1.3e4 \\ 0 \ 6le-2 \ 6le-2 \ 5le-2 \ 5le-1 \ 1.3e4 \\ 0 \ 6le-2 \ 6le-2 \ 5le-2 \ 5le-1 \ 1.3e4 \\ 0 \ 6le-2 \ 6le-2 \ 5le-2 \ 5le-1 \ 1.3e4 \\ 0 \ 6le-2 \ 6le-2 \ 5le-2 \ 5le-1 \ 1.3e4 \\ 0 \ 6le-2 \ 6le-2 \ 5le-2 \ 5le-1 \ 1.3e4 \\ 0 \ 6le-2 \ 6le-2 \ 5le-2 \ 5le-1 \ 1.3e4 \\ 0 \ 6le-2 \ 6le-2 \ 5le-2 \ 5le-2 \ 0.0e5 \\ 0 \ 0le-2 $
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{r c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{c} \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm succ} \\ 15 \ 6.2e2 \ 5.7e2 \ 6.7e2 \ 6.2e2 \\ 15 \ 4.1e3 \ 2.0e3 \ 6.1e3 \ 4.1e3 \\ 15 \ 2.2e4 \ 1.9e4 \ 2.5e4 \ 2.2e4 \\ 1.5 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 6.8e4 \ 5.8e4 \ 7.8e4 \ 6.8e4 \\ 15 \ 1.3e5 \ 1.2e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.3e5 \\ 1.5e5 \ 1.4e5 \ 1.6e5 \ 1.5e5 \\ f20 \ {\rm in} \ 20-{\rm D}, {\rm N=15}, {\rm mFE=200316} \\ \# \ {\rm ERT} \ 10\% \ 90\% \ {\rm RT}_{\rm succ} \\ 15 \ 3.6e2 \ 3.4e2 \ 3.9e2 \ 3.6e2 \\ 5 \ 6.0e5 \ 4.2e5 \ 1.0e6 \ 2.0e5 \\ 0 \ 1le-l \ 9le-2 \ l2e-l \ 1.3e5 \\ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ . \ .$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{r llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{r c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 1: Shown are, for a given target difference to the optimal function value  $\Delta f$ : the number of successful trials (#); the expected running time to surpass  $f_{opt} + \Delta f$  (ERT, see Figure 1); the 10%-tile and 90%-tile of the bootstrap distribution of ERT; the average number of function evaluations in successful trials or, if none was successful, as last entry the median number of function evaluations to reach the best function value ( $RT_{succ}$ ). If  $f_{opt} + \Delta f$  was never reached, figures in *italics* denote the best achieved  $\Delta f$ -value of the median trial and the 10% and 90%-tile trial. Furthermore, N denotes the number of trials, and mFE denotes the maximum of number of function evaluations executed in one trial. See Figure 1 for the names of functions.



Figure 2: Empirical cumulative distribution functions (ECDFs), plotting the fraction of trials versus running time (left subplots) or versus  $\Delta f$  (right subplots). The thick red line represents the best achieved results. Left subplots: ECDF of the running time (number of function evaluations), divided by search space dimension D, to fall below  $f_{opt} + \Delta f$  with  $\Delta f = 10^k$ , where k is the first value in the legend. Right subplots: ECDF of the best achieved  $\Delta f$  divided by  $10^k$  (upper left lines in continuation of the left subplot), and best achieved  $\Delta f$ divided by  $10^{-8}$  for running times of D, 10D, 100D... function evaluations (from right to left cycling blackcyan-magenta). Top row: all results from all functions; second row: separable functions; third row: misc. moderate functions; fourth row: ill-conditioned functions; fifth row: multi-modal functions with adequate structure; last row: multi-modal functions with weak structure. The legends indicate the number of functions that were solved in at least one trial. FEvals denotes number of function evaluations, D and DIM denote search space dimension, and  $\Delta f$  and Df denote the difference to the optimal function value.

modal separable functions as expected. Its performances on multimodal functions, even separable ones such as  $f_3$  and  $f_4$ , are limited though. Whereas for multimodal functions increasing the maximum number of function evaluations is likely to improve the performances of the algorithm, this should not be the case for  $f_{24}$ . For the timing experiment, the proposed algorithm was run on  $f_8$  and restarted until at least 30 seconds have passed (according to Figure 2 in [3]). The experiments were conducted with an Intel Core 2 6700 processor (2.66GHz) with Matlab R2008a on Linux 2.6.24.7. The results were 15, 13, 11, 9.7, 9.9, and 13 ×10<sup>-5</sup> seconds per function evaluations in dimension 2, 3, 5, 10, 20, and 40 respectively.

## Acknowledgments

The first author would like to acknowledge the support, help, and work of the BBOB team with particular kudos to Anne Auger, Steffen Finck and Nikolaus Hansen.

## 5. REFERENCES

- A. Auger and N. Hansen. A restart CMA evolution strategy with increasing population size. In *Proceedings* of the IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC 2005), pages 1769–1776. IEEE Press, 2005.
- [2] S. Finck, N. Hansen, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Presentation of the noiseless functions. Technical Report 2009/20, Research Center PPE, 2009.

- [3] N. Hansen, A. Auger, S. Finck, and R. Ros. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Experimental setup. Technical Report RR-6828, INRIA, 2009.
- [4] N. Hansen, S. Finck, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Noiseless functions definitions. Technical Report RR-6829, INRIA, 2009.
- [5] N. Hansen and A. Ostermeier. Completely derandomized self-adaptation in evolution strategies. *Evolutionary computation*, 9(2):159–195, 2001.
- [6] M. Lunacek, D. Whitley, and A. Sutton. The impact of global structure on search. In G. Rudolph, T. Jansen, S. M. Lucas, C. Poloni, and N. Beume, editors, *PPSN*, volume 5199 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 498–507. Springer, 2008.
- [7] R. Ros and N. Hansen. A simple modification in CMA-ES achieving linear time and space complexity. In G. Rudolph, T. Jansen, S. M. Lucas, C. Poloni, and N. Beume, editors, *Parallel Problem Solving from Nature - PPSN X, 10th International Conference Dortmund, Germany, September 13-17, 2008, Proceedings, volume 5199 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science,* pages 296–305. Springer, 2008.