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#### Abstract

In (Fuzzy Sets and Systems 97 (1998) 33), we presented a fuzzy multipurpose decision making model integrating different preference representations based on additive reciprocal fuzzy preference relations. The main aim of this paper is to complete the decision model studying conditions under which reciprocity property is maintained when aggregating preference relations using an OWA operator guided by a relative linguistic quantifier.
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## 1. Introduction

We assume multiperson decision making (MPDM) problems [3] being the experts' preferences about the alternatives represented by means of the fuzzy preference relations which are additive reciprocal [6].

Usually, the solution set of alternatives is achieved in two phases [5]: aggregation phase and exploitation phase. The aggregation phase leads us to the use of an aggregation operator for getting a collective preference relation. In [1], we use the OWA operator [7] guided by fuzzy majority like aggregation procedure to combine the preference relations. In the OWA operator, the concept of fuzzy majority can be incorporated by means of a relative linguistic quantifier $[2,4,8,9]$ (e.g., such as "most of", "at least half", "as many as possible") used to compute the weighting vector [7].

[^0]The problem that we can find is that the reciprocity property is not generally preserved when aggregation is carried out by means of the OWA operator guided by a relative linguistic quantifier. This paper is focused on the analysis of this problem.

In order to do that, this note is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present formally the decision making problem. In Section 3, we study reciprocity conditions and also give a few examples to illustrate everything. Finally, some conclusions are pointed out.

## 2. Presentation of the problem

We have a set of alternatives $X=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$, a set of experts $E=\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{m}\right\}$, and a set of fuzzy preference relations $\left\{P^{1}, \ldots, P^{m}\right\}$, where $P^{k}=\left(p_{i j}^{k}\right)$, and $p_{i j}^{k}$ represents the preference degree or intensity of alternative $x_{i}$ over alternative $x_{j}$ for expert $e_{k}$. We consider additive reciprocal fuzzy preferences relations to express the preferences, i.e., $p_{i j}^{k}+p_{j i}^{k}=1, \forall i, j, k$.

As we have said, using an OWA operator $\phi_{Q}$ guided by a linguistic quantifier $Q$, we derive a collective preference relation, $P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$, that indicates the global preference between every pair of alternatives according to the majority of experts' opinions, which is represented by $Q$. In this case,

$$
p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}=\phi_{Q}\left(p_{i j}^{1}, \ldots, p_{i j}^{m}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} w_{k} q_{i j}^{k},
$$

where $q_{i j}^{k}$ is the $k$ th largest value in the set $\left\{p_{i j}^{1}, \ldots, p_{i j}^{m}\right\}, Q$ is a relative non-decreasing quantifier with membership function

$$
Q(x)= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leqslant x<a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a}, & a \leqslant x \leqslant b \\ 1, & b<x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

$a, b \in[0,1]$, and $w_{k}=Q(k / m)-Q((k-1) / m), \forall k$.
Note 1: We make note that the definition of $Q$ implies that $a<b$.
Following this methodology, the first thing we have to do is to choose the suitable relative quantifier for representing the concept of fuzzy majority that we desire to implement in our MPDM problem, what reduces to choose adequate values for parameters $a$ and $b$, computing afterwards the weights of the OWA operator using the above relation. Our objective in this paper is to give values of parameters $a$ and $b$ that maintain reciprocity property.

## 3. Reciprocity of collective preference relation

In the following two subsections we will demonstrate the following relation:

$$
a+b=1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}=1 .
$$

### 3.1. Sufficient condition

The problem to solve is: What condition do parameters $a$ and $b$ have to verify so that $p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+$ $p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}=1, \forall i, j(i \neq j)$ ?

Note 2: We make note that if all the individual additive reciprocal fuzzy preference relations are the same, that is when $P^{1}=\cdots=P^{m}=P$, then we will have $P^{\mathrm{c}}=P$, no matter what OWA operator $\phi_{Q}$ we do use.

As we are assuming $P^{k}$ additive reciprocal then $p_{j i}^{k}=1-p_{i j}^{k}$, and therefore if $\left\{q_{i j}^{k}, \ldots, q_{i j}^{m}\right\}$ are ordered from largest to lowest, $\left\{q_{j i}^{1}, \ldots, q_{j i}^{m}\right\}$, being $q_{j i}^{k}=1-q_{i j}^{k}$, are ordered form lowest to largest, and in consequence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}} & =\sum_{k=1}^{m} w_{k} q_{i j}^{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} w_{m-k+1} q_{j i}^{k}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} w_{k} q_{i j}^{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} w_{m-k+1}\left(1-q_{i j}^{k}\right) \\
& =1+\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(w_{k}-w_{m-k+1}\right) q_{i j}^{k}=1+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \bar{w}_{k} q_{i j}^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\bar{w}_{k}=\left[Q\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)-Q\left(\frac{k-1}{m}\right)\right]-\left[Q\left(\frac{m-k+1}{m}\right)-Q\left(\frac{m-k}{m}\right)\right] .
$$

If we denote $A(k)=Q(k / m)+Q(1-(k / m))$ then $\bar{w}_{k}=A(k)-A(k-1)$.
We distinguish three possible cases, according to the values of $a+b$ : (A) $a+b=1$, (B) $a+b<1$, (C) $a+b>1$.

Case A: $a+b=1$. In this case $1-a=b, 1-b=a$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q(1-x) & =\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0, & 0 \leqslant 1-x<a \\
\frac{1-x-a}{b-a}, & a \leqslant 1-x \leqslant b \\
1, & b<1-x \leqslant 1
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
0, & b<x \leqslant 1 \\
\frac{b+a-x-a}{b-a}, & a \leqslant x \leqslant b \\
1, & 0 \leqslant x<a
\end{array}\right\} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
1-0, & 0 \leqslant x<a \\
1-\frac{x-a}{b-a}, & a \leqslant x \leqslant b \\
1-1, & b<x \leqslant 1
\end{array}\right\}=1-Q(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that

$$
A(k)=Q\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)+Q\left(1-\frac{k}{m}\right)=Q\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)+1-Q\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)=1, \quad \forall k
$$

and $\bar{w}_{k}=A(k)-A(k-1)=0, \forall k$, and therefore $p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}=1, \forall i, j$.

Summarising, we have stated the following results:

Proposition 1. If $Q$ is a linguistic quantifier with membership function verifying

$$
Q(1-x)=1-Q(x), \quad \forall x,
$$

then the collective fuzzy preference relation, obtained by aggregating a set of additive reciprocal fuzzy preference relations, using an OWA operator guided by $Q$, is additive reciprocal.

Proposition 2. If $Q$ is a relative non-decreasing linguistic quantifier with parameters $a$ and $b$ verifying $a+b=1$, then the $O W A$ operator guided by $Q$ preserves additive reciprocity.

Example 1. Suppose that we have a set of four alternatives and a set of six experts that provide their opinion using the following additive reciprocal fuzzy preference relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{1}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.17 & 0.67 & 0.5 \\
0.83 & 0.5 & 1 & 0.67 \\
0.33 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.17 \\
0.5 & 0.33 & 0.83 & 0.5
\end{array}\right), \\
& P^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.38 & 0.58 & 0.84 \\
0.62 & 0.5 & 0.69 & 0.9 \\
0.42 & 0.31 & 0.5 & 0.8 \\
0.16 & 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.5
\end{array}\right), \\
& P^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.1 & 0.6 & 0.7 \\
0.9 & 0.5 & 0.8 & 0.4 \\
0.4 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 0.9 \\
0.3 & 0.6 & 0.2 & 0.5
\end{array}\right), \\
& P^{4}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.33 & 0.17 & 0.67 \\
0.67 & 0.5 & 0.33 & 0.17 \\
0.83 & 0.67 & 0.5 & 1 \\
0.33 & 0.83 & 0 & 0.5
\end{array}\right), \\
& P^{5}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.34 & 0.2 & 0.96 \\
0.66 & 0.5 & 0.33 & 0.98 \\
0.8 & 0.67 & 0.5 & 0.99 \\
0.04 & 0.02 & 0.01 & 0.5
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
P^{6}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.5 & 0.7 & 1 \\
0.5 & 0.5 & 0.8 & 0.6 \\
0.3 & 0.2 & 0.5 & 0.8 \\
0 & 0.4 & 0.2 & 0.5
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using the linguistic quantifier with the pair of values $(0.25,0.75)$ and the corresponding OWA operator with weight vector $\left(0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, 0\right)$, the collective preference relation is:

$$
P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.315 & 0.538 & 0.785 \\
0.685 & 0.5 & 0.685 & 0.64 \\
0.462 & 0.315 & 0.5 & 0.865 \\
0.215 & 0.36 & 0.135 & 0.5
\end{array}\right)
$$

Case B: $a+b<1$. In this case, we have that $1-a>b, 1-b>a$ and as a consequence of being $a<b$ we have $a<\frac{1}{2}$. We can assume for now that $b \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$, what implies that $1-b \leqslant b$, letting for later the other case $b<\frac{1}{2}$.

Case B1: $b \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$. Now we have that $0 \leqslant a<1-b \leqslant b<1-a \leqslant 1$, and consequently

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(x)= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leqslant x<a, \\
\frac{x-a}{b-a}, & a \leqslant x<1-b, \\
\frac{x-a}{b-a}, & 1-b \leqslant x<b, \\
1, & b \leqslant x<1-a, \\
1, & 1-a \leqslant x \leqslant 1,\end{cases} \\
& Q(1-x)= \begin{cases}1, & 0 \leqslant x<a, \\
\frac{1-x-a}{b-a}, & 1-b \leqslant x<b, \\
\frac{1-x-a}{b-a}, & b \leqslant x<1-a \\
0, & 1-a \leqslant x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $x \in[0,1]$ and

$$
A(y)= \begin{cases}1, & 0 \leqslant y<m a \\ \frac{y+m(b-2 a)}{m(b-a)}, & m a \leqslant y<m(1-b), \\ \frac{1-2 a}{b-a}, & m(1-b) \leqslant y<m b \\ \frac{m-y-m(b-2 a)}{m(b-a)}, & m b \leqslant y<m(1-a) \\ 1, & m(1-a) \leqslant y \leqslant m\end{cases}
$$

with $y \in[0, m]$. It is clear that there exist $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3}, h_{4} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h_{1}-1<m a \leqslant h_{1}, & h_{2}-1<m(1-b) \leqslant h_{2}, \\
h_{3}-1<m b \leqslant h_{3}, & h_{4}-1<m(1-a) \leqslant h_{4},
\end{array}
$$

and in consequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A(0)=\cdots=A\left(h_{1}-1\right)=1, \\
& A(k)=\frac{k+m(b-2 a)}{m(b-a)}, \quad k=h_{1}, \ldots, h_{2}-1, \\
& A(j)=\frac{1-2 a}{b-a}, \quad j=h_{2}, \ldots, h_{3}-1, \\
& A(l)=\frac{m-l-m(b-2 a)}{m(b-a)}, \quad l=h_{3}, \ldots, h_{4}-1, \\
& A\left(h_{4}\right)=\cdots=A(m)=1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, it is clear that $m-h_{4}=h_{1}-1, m-h_{3}=h_{2}-1$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{w}_{1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{h_{1}-1}=0, \quad \bar{w}_{h_{1}}=\frac{h_{1}-m a}{m(b-a)}, \\
& \bar{w}_{h_{1}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{h_{2}-1}=\frac{1}{m(b-a)}, \quad \bar{w}_{h_{2}}=\frac{h_{3}-m b}{m(b-a)}, \\
& \bar{w}_{h_{2}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{h_{3}-1}=0, \quad \bar{w}_{h_{3}}=\frac{m b-h_{3}}{m(b-a)}, \\
& \bar{w}_{h_{3}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{h_{4}-1}=\frac{-1}{m(b-a)}, \quad \bar{w}_{h_{4}}=\frac{m a-h_{1}}{m(b-a)}, \\
& \bar{w}_{h_{4}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{m}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression for $p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}$ reduces to

$$
p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}=1+\bar{w}_{h_{1}}\left(q_{i j}^{h_{1}}-q_{i j}^{h_{4}}\right)+\sum_{k=h_{1}+1}^{h_{2}-1} \frac{1}{m(b-a)}\left(q_{i j}^{k}-q_{i j}^{m-k+1}\right)+\bar{w}_{h_{2}}\left(q_{i j}^{h_{2}}-q_{i j}^{h_{3}}\right), \quad \forall i, j .
$$

As we have that $\left\{q_{i j}^{1}, \ldots, q_{i j}^{m}\right\}$ are ordered from largest to lowest, then it is clear that $p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}} \geqslant 1$, $\forall i, j$.

Example 2. Suppose again the same set of additive reciprocal preference relations as in Example 1. Using the linguistic quantifier "at least half" with the pair of values $(0,0.5)$ and the corresponding

OWA operator with weight vector $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0,0,0\right)$, then the collective preference relation is

$$
P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.4 & 0.66 & 0.94 \\
0.8 & 0.5 & 0.87 & 0.85 \\
0.69 & 0.55 & 0.5 & 0.96 \\
0.38 & 0.61 & 0.41 & 0.5
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Case B2: $b<\frac{1}{2}$. In this case we have that $0 \leqslant a<b<1-b \leqslant 1-a \leqslant 1$, and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(x)= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leqslant x<a, \\
\frac{x-a}{b-a}, & a \leqslant x<b, \\
1, & b \leqslant x<1-b, \\
1, & 1-b \leqslant x<1-a, \\
1, & 1-a \leqslant x \leqslant 1,\end{cases} \\
& Q(1-x)= \begin{cases}1, & 0 \leqslant x<a, \\
1, & a \leqslant x<b, \\
\frac{1-x-a}{b-a}, & 1-b \leqslant x<1-a, \\
1, & 1-a \leqslant x \leqslant 1,\end{cases} \\
& A(y)= \begin{cases}1, & m \leqslant y<m a, \\
\frac{y+m(b-2 a)}{m(b-a)}, & m a \leqslant y<m b, \\
2, & m(1-b \leqslant y<m(1-b), \\
\frac{m-y+m(b-2 a)}{m(b-a)}, & m(1-a) \leqslant y \leqslant m .\end{cases} \\
& 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

There exist $l_{1}, l_{2}, l_{3}, l_{4} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l_{1}-1<m a \leqslant l_{1}, \quad l_{2}-1<m b \leqslant l_{2} \\
& l_{3}-1<m(1-b) \leqslant l_{3}, \quad l_{4}-1<m(1-a) \leqslant l_{4} \\
& m-l_{4}=l_{1}-1, \quad m-l_{3}=l_{2}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\bar{w}_{1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{l_{1}-1}=0, \quad \bar{w}_{l_{1}}=\frac{l_{1}-m a}{m(b-a)},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{w}_{l_{1}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{l_{2}-1}=\frac{1}{m(b-a)}, \quad \bar{w}_{l_{2}}=\frac{m b-l_{2}+1}{m(b-a)}, \\
& \bar{w}_{l_{2}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{l_{3}-1}=0, \quad \bar{w}_{l_{3}}=\frac{l_{2}-1-m b}{m(b-a)}, \\
& \bar{w}_{l_{3}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{l_{4}-1}=\frac{-1}{m(b-a)}, \quad \bar{w}_{l_{4}}=\frac{m a-l_{1}}{m(b-a)}, \\
& \bar{w}_{l_{4}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{m}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression for $p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}$ reduces to

$$
p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}=1+\bar{w}_{l_{1}}\left(q_{i j}^{l_{1}}-q_{i j}^{l_{4}}\right)+\sum_{k=l_{1}+1}^{l_{2}-1} \frac{1}{m(b-a)}\left(q_{i j}^{k}-q_{i j}^{m-k+1}\right)+\bar{w}_{l_{2}}\left(q_{i j}^{l_{2}}-q_{i j}^{l_{3}}\right), \quad \forall i, j
$$

Example 3. Suppose again the same set of additive reciprocal preference relations as in Example 1. Using the linguistic quantifier with the pair of values $(0.15,0.35)$ and the corresponding OWA operator with weight vector $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{1}{12}, 0,0,0\right)$, then the collective preference relation is

$$
P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.42 & 0.53 & 0.96 \\
0.84 & 0.5 & 0.87 & 0.91 \\
0.78 & 0.64 & 0.5 & 0.99 \\
0.38 & 0.66 & 0.41 & 0.5
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Summarising, we have obtained the following result:

Proposition 3. Let $\left\{P^{1}, \ldots, P^{m}\right\}$ be a finite set of individual additive reciprocal preference relations, and $Q$ a relative non-decreasing quantifier with membership function

$$
Q(x)= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leqslant x<a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a}, & a \leqslant x \leqslant b \\ 1, & b<x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

with $a+b<1$. Then, the collective preference relation $P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right), p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}=\phi_{Q}\left(p_{i j}^{1}, \ldots, p_{i j}^{m}\right)$, obtained using the $O W A$ operator $\phi_{Q}$, verifies $p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}} \geqslant 1, \forall i, j$.

Case C: $a+b>1$. As in the previous case, we have to distinguished two sub-cases: $a<\frac{1}{2}$ and $a \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$.

Case C1: $a<\frac{1}{2}$. The expressions for $Q(x), Q(1-x)$ and $A(x)$ are, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(x)= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leqslant x<1-b, \\
0, & 1-b \leqslant x<a, \\
\frac{x-a}{\overline{b-a},} & a \leqslant x<1-a, \\
\frac{x-a}{b-a}, & 1-a \leqslant x<b, \\
1, & b \leqslant x \leqslant 1,\end{cases} \\
& Q(1-x)= \begin{cases}1, & 0 \leqslant x<1-b, \\
\frac{1-x-a}{b-a}, & 1-b \leqslant x<a, \\
\frac{1-x-a}{b-a}, & a \leqslant x<1-a, \\
0, & 1-a \leqslant x<b, \\
0, & b \leqslant x \leqslant 1,\end{cases} \\
& A(y)= \begin{cases}1, & 0 \leqslant y<m(1-b), \\
\frac{m-y-m a}{m(b-a)}, & m(1-b) \leqslant y<m a, \\
\frac{1-2 a}{b-a}, & m a \leqslant y<m(1-a), \\
\frac{y-m a}{m(b-a)}, & m(1-a) \leqslant y<m b, \\
1, & m b \leqslant y \leqslant m .\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

There exist $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}, r_{4} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}-1<m(1-b) \leqslant r_{1}, \quad r_{2}-1<m a \leqslant r_{2}, \\
& r_{3}-1<m(1-a) \leqslant r_{3}, \quad r_{4}-1<m b \leqslant r_{4}, \\
& m-r_{4}=r_{1}-1, \quad m-r_{3}=r_{2}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{w}_{1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{r_{1}-1}=0, \quad \bar{w}_{r_{1}}=\frac{m-r_{1}-m b}{m(b-a)} \leqslant 0 \\
& \bar{w}_{r_{1}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{r_{2}-1}=\frac{-1}{m(b-a)}, \quad \bar{w}_{r_{2}}=\frac{r_{2}-1-m a}{m(b-a)} \leqslant 0, \\
& \bar{w}_{r_{2}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{r_{3}-1}=0, \quad \bar{w}_{r_{3}}=-\bar{w}_{r_{2}}, \quad \bar{w}_{r_{3}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{r_{4}-1}=\frac{1}{m(b-a)}, \\
& \bar{w}_{r_{4}}=-\bar{w}_{r_{1}}, \quad \bar{w}_{r_{4}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{m}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The expression for $p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}$ reduces to

$$
p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}=1+\bar{w}_{r_{1}}\left(q_{i j}^{r_{1}}-q_{i j}^{r_{4}}\right)+\sum_{k=r_{1}+1}^{r_{2}-1} \frac{-1}{m(b-a)}\left(q_{i j}^{k}-q_{i j}^{m-k+1}\right)+\bar{w}_{r_{2}}\left(q_{i j}^{r_{2}}-q_{i j}^{r_{3}}\right) \leqslant 1, \quad \forall i, j .
$$

Example 4. Using the linguistic quantifier "most of" with the pair of values $(0.3,0.8)$ and the corresponding OWA operator with weight vector ( $0, \frac{1}{15}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{4}{15}, 0$ ), then the collective preference relation is

$$
P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.25 & 0.49 & 0.76 \\
0.66 & 0.5 & 0.64 & 0.59 \\
0.42 & 0.27 & 0.5 & 0.85 \\
0.19 & 0.31 & 0.12 & 0.5
\end{array}\right)
$$

Case C2: $a \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, following a similar reasoning as in case b2, we have that

$$
p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}=1+\bar{w}_{s_{1}}\left(q_{i j}^{s_{1}}-q_{i j}^{s_{4}}\right)+\sum_{k=s_{1}+1}^{s_{2}-1} \frac{-1}{m(b-a)}\left(q_{i j}^{k}-q_{i j}^{m-k+1}\right)+\bar{w}_{s_{2}}\left(q_{i j}^{s_{2}}-q_{i j}^{s_{3}}\right) \leqslant 1, \quad \forall i, j .
$$

being $s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}-1<m(1-b) \leqslant s_{1}, \quad s_{2}-1<m(1-a) \leqslant s_{2} \\
& s_{3}-1<m a \leqslant s_{3}, \quad s_{4}-1<m b \leqslant s_{4} \\
& m-s_{4}=s_{1}-1, \quad m-s_{3}=s_{2}-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{w}_{1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{s_{1}-1}=0, \quad \bar{w}_{s_{1}}=\frac{m(1-b)-s_{1}}{m(b-a)} \leqslant 0, \\
& \bar{w}_{s_{1}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{s_{2}-1}=\frac{-1}{m(b-a)}, \\
& \bar{w}_{s_{2}}=\frac{\left(s_{2}-1\right)-m(1-a)}{m(b-a)} \leqslant 0, \\
& \bar{w}_{s_{2}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{s_{3}-1}=0, \quad \bar{w}_{s_{3}}=-\bar{w}_{s_{2}} \\
& \bar{w}_{s_{3}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{s_{4}-1}=\frac{1}{m(b-a)} \\
& \bar{w}_{s_{4}}=-\bar{w}_{s_{1}}, \quad \bar{w}_{s_{4}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{m}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently $p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}} \leqslant 1, \forall i, j$.

Example 5. Using, in this case, the linguistic quantifier "as many as possible" with the pair of values $(0.5,1)$ and the corresponding OWA operator with weight vector $\left(0,0,0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$, then the collective preference relation is

$$
P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.2 & 0.31 & 0.62 \\
0.6 & 0.5 & 0.45 & 0.39 \\
0.34 & 0.13 & 0.5 & 0.59 \\
0.06 & 0.15 & 0.04 & 0.5
\end{array}\right) .
$$

If $(a, b)=(0.7,0.9)$, the weighting vector is $\left(0,0,0,0, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}\right)$ and the collective preference relation is

$$
P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0.5 & 0.15 & 0.19 & 0.5 \\
0.58 & 0.5 & 0.33 & 0.32 \\
0.32 & 0.13 & 0.5 & 0.59 \\
0.03 & 0.07 & 0.01 & 0.5
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Summarising, we have obtained the following result:

Proposition 4. Let $\left\{P^{1}, \ldots, P^{m}\right\}$ be a finite set of individual additive reciprocal preference relations, and $Q$ a relative non-decreasing quantifier with membership function

$$
Q(x)= \begin{cases}0, & 0 \leqslant x<a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a}, & a \leqslant x \leqslant b \\ 1, & b<x \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

with $a+b>1$. Then, the collective preference relation $P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right), p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}=\phi_{Q}\left(p_{i j}^{1}, \ldots, p_{i j}^{m}\right)$, obtained using the $O W A$ operator $\phi_{Q}$, verifies $p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}} \leqslant 1, \forall i, j$.

### 3.2. Necessity of condition $a+b=1$

We have given a sufficient condition on the parameters $a$ and $b(a+b=1)$ to ensure that $P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is reciprocal for every set of reciprocal fuzzy preference relations. In what follows, we will show that the above condition is a necessary condition as well.

Therefore, if we impose that $P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is reciprocal no matter which set of individual reciprocal fuzzy preference relations $\left\{P^{1}, \ldots, P^{m}\right\}$ we do start with, that is $p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}=1, \forall i, j$, what can we say about parameters $a$ and $b$ ?, is it compulsory that $a+b=1$ ? We will prove that indeed $a+b=1$ as we will show that being $P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ reciprocal and $a+b \neq 1$ lead to a contradiction.

In the case of being $a+b \neq 1$, four cases have to be studied,

| $a+b<1$ | $b \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $b<\frac{1}{2}$ |
| $a+b>1$ | $b<\frac{1}{2}$ |
|  | $a \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$ |

Case B1: $a+b<1$ and $b \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$. To ensure that $P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is reciprocal for every set of reciprocal fuzzy preference relations, the following two conditions have to be verified:

1. $\bar{w}_{h_{1}}=0$ and $\bar{w}_{h_{2}}=0$,
2. $\bar{w}_{h_{1}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{h_{2}-1}=0$.

Or equivalently

1. $h_{1}=m a$ and $h_{3}=m b$,
2. $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ have to be consecutive numbers because $1 / m(b-a) \neq 0$, that is $h_{2}=h_{1}+1$.

All this leads to

$$
m(a+b)=m a+m b=h_{1}+h_{3}=\left(h_{2}-1\right)+\left[m-\left(h_{2}-1\right)\right]=m
$$

that is $a+b=1$, which contradicts being $a+b<1$.
Case B2: $a+b<1$ and $b<\frac{1}{2}$. Again, to guarantee the reciprocity of $P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ for every set of reciprocal fuzzy preference relations, it has to be:

1. $\bar{w}_{l_{1}}=\bar{w}_{l_{2}}=0 \Leftrightarrow l_{1}=m a \wedge l_{2}-1=m b$,
2. $\bar{w}_{l_{1}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{l_{2}-1}=0 \Leftrightarrow l_{2}=l_{1}+1$
and therefore

$$
m b=l_{2}-1=l_{1}=m a \Leftrightarrow a=b,
$$

which contradicts being $a<b$.
Case C1: $a+b>1$ and $a<\frac{1}{2} . P^{\mathrm{c}}=\left(p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ is reciprocal when

1. $\bar{w}_{r_{1}}=\bar{w}_{r_{2}}=0 \Leftrightarrow r_{1}=m(1-b) \wedge r_{2}-1=m a$,
2. $\bar{w}_{r_{1}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{r_{2}-1}=0 \Leftrightarrow r_{2}=r_{1}+1$
and consequently

$$
m(a+b)=m a+m b=r_{2}-1+m-r_{1}=\left(r_{1}+1\right)-1+m-r_{1}=m
$$

that is $a+b=1$, which contradicts being $a+b<1$.
Case C2: $a+b>1$ and $a \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$. The imposition $p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}=1, \forall i, j$, for every set of reciprocal fuzzy preference relations $\left\{P^{1}, \ldots, P^{m}\right\}$ implies that

1. $\bar{w}_{s_{1}}=\bar{w}_{s_{2}}=0 \Leftrightarrow s_{1}=m(1-b) \wedge s_{3}=m a$,
2. $\bar{w}_{s_{1}+1}=\cdots=\bar{w}_{s_{2}-1}=0 \Leftrightarrow s_{2}=s_{1}+1$
and therefore

$$
m-m b=m(1-b)=s_{1}=s_{2}-1=m-s_{3}=m-m a
$$

that is $a=b$ which contradicts being $a<b$.

## 4. Conclusions

We have obtained a necessary and sufficient conditions to ensure the additive reciprocity of the collective preference relation obtained when aggregating any finite set of additive reciprocal fuzzy relations using OWA operators guided by a relative non-decreasing linguistic quantifier with parameters $(a, b)$. We have shown that additive reciprocity is maintained when $a+b=1$ and not when $a+b \neq 1$. Moreover, as we can see from the examples given, the bigger the value of $|a+b-1|$ the more distant the collective preference relation is from being additive reciprocal, in the sense that the bigger is $\left|p_{i j}^{\mathrm{c}}+p_{j i}^{\mathrm{c}}-1\right|$.
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